

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/12/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/725,393	12/03/2003	Ajay Gupta	48354-0001-00-US (228150)	5022
23973	7590 09/12/2006		EXAM	INER
DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH			FOREMAN, JONATHAN M	
ATTN: INTE	LLECTUAL PROPERT	Y GROUP		
ONE LOGAN SQUARE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
18TH AND CHERRY STREETS		3736		
PHILADELP	HIA, PA 19103-6996			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/725,393	GUPTA, AJAY				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jonathan ML Foreman	3736				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
,	·					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-54 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1-54 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
5/ are easy, and are easy, are ea	•					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12/3/03</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	it of the certified copies not receive	ea.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/3/03. 		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3736

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 12/3/03 complies with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609. It has been placed in the application file, and the information referred to therein has been considered by the examiner as to the merits.

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference characters "10", "12", "14", "16", "18", "20", "22" and "24" have been used to designate both device parts and method steps in Figures 1 and 2 respectively. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent

Art Unit: 3736

by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1, 5 – 11, 26, 28, 30, 32, 34, 36 – 42, 44 – 47, 49, 51 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 5,002,065 to LaCourse et al.

In regards to claims 1, 5-11 and 26, LaCourse et al. discloses a combination electronic communication and medical diagnostic apparatus including a first component for transmitting or receiving a remote electronic communication signal (Col. 3, lines 35-38); and a second component (103) for generating vibration to be used in a medical diagnosis. The second component generates vibration of a fixed magnitude or of a variable magnitude in a linear manner (Col. 3, line 53-Col.4, line 56). The second component generates vibration of a fixed frequency or of a variable frequency. The second component generates a plurality of sets each of a fixed magnitude or frequency (Col. 3, line 53-Col.4, line 56). When the apparatus is applied to a subject, threshold for the perception or disappearance of vibration can be determined as a measure of nerve function to detect neuropathy (Col. 5, lines 58-60).

In regards to claims 28, 30, 32, 34, 36 – 42, 44 – 47, 49, 51 and 53, LaCourse et al. disclose a method including providing combination electronic communication and medical diagnostic apparatus including a first component for transmitting or receiving a remote electronic communication signal (Col. 3, lines 35 – 38); and a second component (103) for generating vibration to be used in a medical diagnosis; generating vibration and applying the apparatus to the extremity of a subject (Col. 3, lines 43 – 46); and diagnosing neuropathy based on detection or non-detection of vibration by the subject (Col. 5, lines 58 – 60). LaCourse et al. discloses determining a threshold for the subject's ability to detect vibration of a predetermined magnitude or frequency. LaCourse et al. discloses determining a perception threshold for the subject's ability to detect vibration by increasing

Art Unit: 3736

threshold for the subject's ability to no longer detect vibration by decreasing the magnitude or frequency of vibration (Col. 3, line 53 – Col.4, line 56). The vibration includes a predetermined magnitude or frequency equal to about 95th – 97th percentiles of a normal population. LaCouse et al. discloses a fixed magnitude or frequency or a variable magnitude or frequency (Col. 3, line 53 – Col.4, line 56).

4. Claims 1 – 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent Application No. 2003/0060765 to Campbell et al.

In regards to claims 1 - 27, Campbell et al. discloses a combination electronic communication and medical diagnostic apparatus including a first component for transmitting or receiving a remote electronic communication signal [0049][0054]; and a second component for generating vibration [0056] to be used in a medical diagnosis. The communication signal comprises a wireless signal [0058]. The apparatus functions as a pager, beeper or cellular phone [0061]. The second component generates vibration of a fixed magnitude or of a variable magnitude in a linear manner [0056]. The second component generates vibration of a fixed frequency or of a variable frequency [0056]. The second component generates a plurality of sets each of a fixed magnitude or frequency. When the apparatus is applied to a subject, threshold for the perception or disappearance of vibration can be determined as a measure of nerve function to detect neuropathy. The apparatus includes an audio or visual display (18). The device generates vibration in a first and second mode; one of the first and second modes is utilized in an electronic communication [0061] and the other [0056] is capable of being utilized in a medical diagnosis. A recitation with respect to the manner in which an apparatus is intended to be employed does not impose any structural limitation upon the claimed apparatus that differentiates it from a prior art reference disclosing the

Art Unit: 3736

structural limitations of the claim. In re Pearson, 494 F.2d 1399, 181 USPQ 641 (CCPA 1947); In re Yanush, 477 F.2d 958, 177 USPQ705 (CCPA 1973); In re Finsterwalder, 436 F.2d 1028, 168 USPQ 530 (CCPA 1971); In re Casey, 370 F.2d 576, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967); In re Otto, 312 F.2d 937, 136 USPQ 458 (CCPA 1963); Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (BbPatApp & Inter 1987). It is noted that the device as disclosed by Campbell et al. generates a vibration that is capable of being used in a medical diagnosis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 31, 33, 35, 48 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 5,002,065 to LaCourse et al. as applied to claims 30, 32, 34, 47 and 49 above, and further in view of US Patent No. 5,931,793 to Laudadio.

In regards to claims 31, 33, 35, 48 and 50, LaCourse et al. discloses determining a vibration threshold in order to diagnose a medical condition, but fails to disclose grading the threshold low, medium, or high when compared to a preset standard thereby indicating the severity of the medical condition. However, Laudadio discloses determining a vibration threshold and grading the threshold low, medium, or high when compared to a preset standard thereby indicating the severity of the medical condition (Col. 3, lines 18 – 25). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method as disclosed by LaCourse et al. to grade the vibration threshold low, medium, or high when compared to a preset standard as

taught by Laudadio in order to quantify minimal impairment, moderate neuropath and severe neuropathy (Col. 3, lines 18 – 25).

7. Claims 2, 29, 43, 52 and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 5,002,065 to LaCourse et al. as applied to claims 1, 28, 42, 51 and 53 above, and further in view of US Patent No. 6,641,533 to Causey, III et al.

In regards to claims 2, 29, 43, 52 and 54, LaCourse et al. discloses an electronic communication device that transmits or receives a remote electronic communication signal (Col. 3, lines 35 – 38), but fails to disclose the signal comprising a wireless signal. However, Causey, III et al. teaches that remote electronic communication signals can be transferred over a wire or wirelessly (Col. 27, lines 51 – 54). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the electronic communication signal as disclosed by LaCourse et al. to be a wireless signal in that Causey, III et al. teaches a wired or wireless communication signal as being equivalents. Furthermore, the removal of wired connections would allow a user to be mobile while using the system.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan ML Foreman whose telephone number is (571)272-4724. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on (571)272-4726. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3736

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JMLF

AN AX F. MINDENBURG

OUT THE COORT PATENT EXAMINER

OUT THE COORT SENTER 3700

Page 7